

## Determination of biochemical changes during storage of cowpea infested with the cowpea weevil (*Callosobruchus Maculatus F.*)

**Nahla M.M. Hassan**

*Food Technology Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.*

---

### ABSTRACT

The present work was carried out to throw light on the relationship between the infestations with *Callosobruchus Maculatus* on the consumption of the chemical component of the cowpea seeds. Results showed that the increase of storage period of cowpea lead to increase of the mean No. of emerged adults of *Callosobruchus Maculatus F.* from 20 adults at the start of the experiment to  $343.3 \pm 0.09$  and  $2143.3 \pm 0.70$  adults after one and four months of storage, respectively and apparently affected weight loss and germination of stored cowpea seeds. In addition, there were distinct differences in the chemical components of the infested and uninfested cowpea seeds such as moisture, ash, crude proteins, crude lipids, crude fibers, carbohydrates, soluble sugars, reducing and non-reducing sugars. However, cowpeas could be considered as a good source of Potassium, Magnesium, Sodium, Calcium and Iron.

---

#### ***Key words:***

### Introduction

Pulses are a good source of dietary proteins and other essential nutrients. However, post-harvest insect infestation are severely affects quality and shelf-life of products (Hassan and Umar, 2005, and Mbata *et al.*, 2005).

*Callosobruchus Maculatus F.* is one of the most serious brunched pests which attack one of the most important pulse food produced and consumed in Egypt (Hussain and Basahy, 1998).

The effect of infestation of certain coleopterous insects on the chemical components of leguminous seeds was studied by (El-Degwi and El-Orabi, 1997 and Fouad and Gamal, 2000). The determinations of quality loss of stored grain are necessary to determine the rate of physical and biochemical deterioration of these grains (Sanon *et al.*, 2010).

The present investigation was carried out to determine the effect of infestation with cowpea weevil, *C. Maculatus* on the chemical components of the stored cowpea seeds.

### Materials and Methods

#### A. Infestation of cowpea with insects:

Cowpea weevil, *Callosobruchus Maculatus F.* was obtained from laboratory culture reared on cowpea seeds (*Vigna Unguiculata*) in an incubator maintained at  $30 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  and  $70 \pm 5\%$  (Room Humidity). Experiments were conducted under the same conditions.

The storage experiment was carried out by of 200 grams of cowpea seeds were put in small glass jars and infested with 10 pairs of newly emerged adults of *C. Maculatus*. The jars were stored for one and four months under the experiment conditions. Jars containing uninfested cowpea and uninfested cowpea.

At the end of each storage period, adults of *C. Maculatus* were isolated from each jar and counted; also samples of cowpea seeds were taken randomly from each jar to determine seed weight loss, moisture content, seed germination and changes in chemical components. The weighted seed was determined according to the method of El-Degwi and El-Orabi, 1997, as the weight loss of each sample was assessed by the following equation:

$$\% \text{ Weight loss} = \frac{\text{Weight of uninfested seeds} - \text{Weight of infested seeds}}{\text{Weight of uninfested seeds}} \times 100$$

Seed germination was determined by placing 25 seeds around the perimeter of a sterile Petri-dish containing a sterile filter paper soaked with 4 ml of sterile distilled water. The Petri-dishes were incubated at 25 ± 1°C and percent germination was calculated after 7 days.

#### Determination of chemical composition of cowpea seeds:

Moisture content and crude protein (% N 6.25) of cowpea seeds were determined according to the method described by (A.O.A.C, 1984). Crude fibers were determined according to the A.O.A.C., (1990). Total hydrosable carbohydrates were calculated as glucose by phenol-sulphuric acid method. Ash and lipid contents were determined by (A.O.A.C., 1990). Phytate content was extracted according to the procedure described by Norhaizan and Nor Faizadatul (2009). Trypsin inhibitor content was assayed by the method of Hilary Goetz (2011). The total soluble sugars were colourmetrically determined according to the method of and reducing sugars were determined according to Kolusheva, and Marinova, (2011).

#### Determination of minerals in cowpea seeds:

The minerals of cowpea seeds were determined according to the method of A.O.A.C. (1990) by using atomic absorption spectroscopy a Perkin-Elmer 3280.

#### Statistical analysis:

The data were statistically analyzed using the analysis of variance as outlined by Snedecor and Cochran (1967).

### Results and Discussion

**Table 1:** Effect of Callosobruchus Maculatus F. infestation on weight loss and germination of stored cowpea seeds (starting with 20 adults).

Storage Period	Mean No. of emerged adults ± S.E.	Weight loss % of Cowpea seeds	Germination % of	
			Uninfested seeds	Infested seeds
One month	343.3±0.9	46.33	79	2
Four months	2143.3±0.7	67.33	84	0

**Table 2:** Effect of Callosobruchus Maculatus F. infestation on the chemical components of cowpea seeds stored for one month.

Components of cowpea seeds	Un infested cowpea seeds	Infested cowpea seeds	% of Difference
Moisture content %	8.6 ±0.01	8.9±0.08	
Total ash %	3.40±0.11	5.98±0.10	+ 76
Crude fiber %	5.04±0.02	6.63±0.01	+ 32
Crude lipids %	0.90±0.2	1.01±0.03	+ 12
Crude protein %	26.1±0.17	25.9±1.15	- 1
Total Carbohydrates %	67.5±0.17	64.5±0.28	- 4
Reducing Sugars %	0.87±0.01	0.72±0.02	- 14
Non-reducing sugars %	6.72±0.90	2.48±0.02	- 59
Total soluble sugars %	6.99±0.10	3.20±0.05	- 54
Starch %	42.0±0.17	41.40±0.11	- 1
Trypsin Inhibitor Activity (IU/mg)	26.1±0.11	30.01±0.05	+ 15
Phytic acid (mg/100g)	346.92±0.07	354.8±0.07	+ 2

+ Means increased from Un-infested one

- Means decreased from Un-infested one

**Table 3:** Effect of Callosobruchus Maculatus F. infestation on the minerals content of cowpea seeds stored for one month.

Minerals (mg/100g)	Un infested cowpea seeds	infested cowpea seeds
Zinc (Zn)	1.956	2.462
Potassium (K)	448.76	926.17
Sodium (Na)	20.10	34.56
Manganeses (Mn)	0.929	1.034
Cupper (Cu)	1.175	3.035
Calcium (Ca)	15.575	14.94
Magnesium (Mg)	427.28	724.23
Iron (Fe)	3.789	3.448

**Table 4:** Effect of Callosobruchus Maculatus F. infestation on the chemical components of cowpea seeds stored for four months.

Components of cowpea seeds	Un infested cowpea seeds	Infested cowpea seeds	% of Difference
Moisture content %	9.60±0.02	9.93±0.01	
Total ash %	3.75±0.05	7.8±0.11	+ 105
Crude fiber %	4.8±0.05	11.9±0.4	+ 148
Crude lipids %	1.26±0.01	3.67±0.01	+ 185
Crude protein %	27.2±0.11	25.3±0.44	- 5
Total Carbohydrates %	60.8±0.45	60.0±0.17	- 1

Reducing Sugars %	0.76±0.02	0.68±0.03	- 13
Non-reducing sugars %	6.36±0.02	2.74±0.08	- 55
Total soluble sugars %	7.12±0.04	3.42±0.01	- 52
Starch %	41.35±0.02	40.01±0.05	- 4
Trypsin Inhibitor Activity (IU/mg)	25.7±0.14	29.9±0.23	+16
Phytic acid (mg/100g)	367.50±0.15	380.04±0.08	+ 4

+ Means increased from Un-infested one

- Means decreased from Un-infested one

**Table 5:** Effect of Callosobruchus Maculatus F. infestation on the minerals content of cowpea seeds stored for four months.

Minerals (mg/100g)	Un infested cowpea seeds	infested cowpea seeds
Zinc (Zn)	19.40	24.55
Potassium (K)	4487.3	9261.1
Sodium (Na)	201.0	345.4
Manganeses (Mn)	9.26	10.30
Cupper (Cu)	11.70	30.30
Calcium (Ca)	152.90	149.0
Magnesium (Mg)	7272.4	7242.1
Iron (Fe)	37.80	34.40

*A- Effect of infestation with Callosobruchus Maculatus F. on weight loss and germination of stored cowpea seeds:*

Data in Table (1) record that the mean No. of emerged adults of Callosobruchus Maculatus F., increased significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) from 20 adults at the start of the experiment to  $343.3 \pm 0.09$  and  $2143 \pm 0.70$  adults after one and four months of storage at ambient temperature, respectively. As indicated in Table (1), the increment in No. of emerged adults apparently affected weight loss and germination of stored cowpea seeds. Data show that weight loss of cowpea was significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) increased from 46.33 after one month to 67.33 after four months of storage. These results are in agreement of El-Degwi and El-Orabi, (1987) who recorded the weight loss of cowpea and chickpea infested with Callosobruchus Maculatus F. The loss in cowpea weight would be attributed to feeding behavior of insects inside the seeds. Data in Table (1) reveal that the germination of stored cowpea decreased significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) from 79% and 84% for un infested cowpea to 2 % and zero % for the infested cowpea after one and four months of storage, respectively, Sharma et al., (2010) reported that reduction of seed germination could be due to the insect feeding on seed germ that prevents seed germination.

*B- Effect of infestation with Callosobruchus Maculatus F. on chemical components of stored cowpea seeds:*

The gross chemical analysis of the studied cowpea is given in Table (2 and 4). Moisture content of un-infested cowpea increased from  $8.6 \pm 0.01$  after one month to  $9.60 \pm 0.02$  after four month of storage. On the other hand, the infested cowpea, moisture content increased from  $8.9 \pm 0.08$  after one month to  $9.93 \pm 0.01$  after four months. These results indicate considerably low moisture contents. Generally, the moisture content of dry legume seeds ranges between 8 % and 12 % as reported by Ahenkora K. et al., (1998). Moisture content of 13 American cowpea varieties ranged from 7.9 – 11.7 %. These findings are in accordance with the reported ones and the moisture content of local cowpeas depending on varieties differences and insect infestation (Agbenorhevi et al., 2007).

The changes in the amount of total ash are shown in Table (2 and 4). For the uninfested cowpea, the amount of total ash increased slightly from  $3.40 \pm 0.11$  after one month to  $3.75 \pm 0.05$  after four months of storage. Khalaf Allah (1995) has reported that ash content in raw beans (kidney beans, dry beans and mung beans) ranged between 3.7 and 6.6 %. For infested cowpea, the amount of total ash increased significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) from  $5.98 \pm 0.10$  after one month to  $7.8 \pm 0.11$  after four months of storage.

Similar findings were recorded by El-banby (1985), who found that bruchid beetles such as Bruchidius incarnatus Boh., and C. maculatus infestation caused increase in total ash.

It has been reported that cowpea contains considerable amounts of fibers, however the results obtained in Tables (2 and 4) indicate that the uninfested and infested cowpea contained  $5.04 \pm 0.02$  and  $6.63 \pm 0.01$  and  $11.9 \pm 0.40$  after four months, respectively. The difference in the amount of fiber between the infested and uninfested cowpea was not significantly different ( $P<0.05$ ) after one month. On the other hand, after four month, significant difference was found ( $P<0.05$ ) between infested and uninfested cowpea , Khalaf Allah (1995) reported that total fibers contents of legumes ranged from 2.0% to 10%.

The data shown in Tables (2 and 4) indicate that the protein content of uninfested cowpea was found to be  $26 \pm 0.17$  and  $27 \pm 0.11$  after one and four months of storage, respectively. This indicates no great differences in protein content. These results are closed to those found by Coelho et al., (2010) who reported that protein content of legumes ranged from 20.1 % to 30%. Akinlosotu and Akinyele (1991) illustrated that the average

protein content of one variety of cowpea ranged between 20.7% and 28.1% coinciding to a great extent with the present findings.

Cowpea seeds were found to contain relatively low contents of lipids (Tables 2 and 4) ranging between  $0.90 \pm 0.02$  and  $1.26 \pm 0.01$  for the uninfested seeds and  $1.01 \pm 0.03$  and  $3.67 \pm 0.01$  for the infested ones after one and four months of storage, respectively. These results agree with the findings of who reported 2.5 % fat in different legumes.

From the data in Tables (2 and 4) it could be noticed that uninfested cowpea stored for one and four months contained considerably high contents of carbohydrates, i.e.,  $67.5 \pm 0.17$  and  $60.8 \pm 0.45$  respectively. Infestation of cowpea seed with *C. maculatus* results in decrease in total carbohydrates to reach  $64.5 \pm 0.28$  and  $60.0 \pm 0.17$ , respectively. These results are in agreement with the finding of El-Dessouki *et al.*, (1984) who reported that sound seed of mung bean contain 55-64% total carbohydrates.

Phytic acid is one of the organic components most widely associated with the protein availability and consequently the nutritive value of most legumes on their processing and for consumption (Ghadge *et al.*, 2008).

The mineral contents of the legume seeds under investigation were determined in the ash resulting from cowpea seeds. The results obtained are given in Tables (3 and 5), from which it could be noticed that minerals content of (Iron, Copper, Manganese, Zinc, Sodium, Potassium and Calcium) were 3.78, 1.17, 0.93, 427.28, 1.95, 11.7, 9.26, 7272.4, 19.4, 201, 4487.3 and 152.7 mg/100g after four months respectively.

From these results it could be concluded that cowpea could be considered as a good source of Potassium, Magnesium, Sodium, Calcium and Iron which is quite important from the nutritive point of view. These results agree with the findings of (Ghadge *et al.*, 2008).

#### *Recommendations:*

This study recommended that, it is necessary to examine the cereals and seeds for insects and microbial infestation before processing and human consumption to keep its nutritional values during storage and to ensure that it is empty from any harmful materials.

#### **Acknowledgement**

The authoress wishes to express her deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to Dr. Hoda M. Abd El-Fatah, Professor, Department of Entomology, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for her fruitful and critical suggestions during the work.

#### **References**

- A.O.A.C., 1984. Official Methods of Analysis Association of Official Analytical Chemists., 14th Ed. Washington, C.D.
- A.O.A.C. 1990. Official Methods Of Analysis Association of Offical Analytical Chemists., Pub. By the Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Inc., Arling West Virginia, USA.
- Agbenorhevi, J.K., W.O. Oduro, Ellis, V.D. Abodakpi and S.E. Eleblu, 2007. Effect of soaking, autoclaving and repeated boiling on oligosaccharides in cowpea Nigerian Food Journal, 25(2): 88-94.
- Ahenkora, K., H.K Adu Dapaah and A. Agyemang, 1998. Selected nutritional components and sensory attributes of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp) leaves. Plant Foods Hum Nutr., 52(3): 221-9.
- Akinlosotu, A. and I.O. Akinyele, 1991. The effect of germination on the oligosaccharides and nutrient content of cowpeas. Food Chem., 39: 157-165.
- Coelho, M.B., M.L. Macedo, S. Marangoni, D.S. Silva, I. Cesarino and P. Mazzafera, 2010. Purification of legumin-like proteins from *Coffea arabica* and *Coffea racemosa* seeds and their insecticidal properties toward cowpea weevil (*Callosobruchus maculatus*) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). J Agric Food Chem., 10; 58(5): 3050-5.
- El-Banby, M.A., A.A. Selim, M.S. El-Zemaitly and S.I. Salama, 1985. The interrelationship between infestation with some bruchid beetles and their requirements of certain chemical components in leguminous seeds. Bull. Soc. Ent. Egypt, 2: 63-73.
- El-Degwi, M. and M.N. El-Orabi, 1997. Weight loss in legume seeds caused by pulse beetle *Callosobrucus Maculatus* (F.) mixed with certain seed powders. Bull. Ent. Soc. Egypt, 75: 12-18.
- El-Dessouki, S.A., S.M. El-Awady and H.O. El-Gendy, 1984. The influence of *Bruchidius Incarnatus* both infestation on the damage and chemical component of certain leguminous seeds. Al-Azhar J. Agric. Res., 1: 87-96.
- Fouad, M.S. and F.A. Gamal, 2000. Changes in the chemical components of certain mungbean varieties infested with the cowpea weevil (*Callosobrucus Maculatus* F.). J. Egypt. Ger. Soc. Zool., 31: 89-101.

- Ghadge P. N., S.V. Shewalkar and D.B. Wankhede, 2008. Effect of Processing Methods on Qualities of Instant Whole Legume: Pigeon Pea (*Cajanus cajan L.*)". Agricultural Engineering International: the CIGR Ejournal. Manuscript FP 08 004. Vol. X.
- Hassan, L.G. and K.J. Umar, 2005. Protein and amino acids composition of African locust bean (*parkia biglobosa*). Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems, 5(1): 45-50.
- Hussain, M.A. and A.Y Basahy, 1998. Nutrient composition and amino acid pattern of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp, Fabaceae) grown in the Gizan area of Saudi Arabia. Int. J Food Sci. Nutr., 49(2): 117-24.
- Hilary Goetz, 2011. The Effects of Baking on the Action of Trypsin Inhibitors in Soy Bread. PhD. Thesis. College of Food, Agricultural, and Environmental Sciences of The Ohio State University.
- Khalaf Allah, A.M., 1995. Changes in chemical constituents of mung beans as affected by dehulling, soaking and germination. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 10(2): 56-67.
- Kolusheva, T. and A. Marinova, 2011. Fast complexometric method for analysis of reducing sugar obtained during starch hydrolysis. Journal of the University of Chemical Technology and Metallurgy, 46(1): 75-80.
- Mbata, G.N., M. Johnson, T.W Phillips and M Payton, 2005. Mortality of life stages of cowpea weevil (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) exposed to low pressure at different temperatures. J Econ. Entomol., 98(3): 1070-5.
- Norhaizan, M.E. and A.W. Nor Faizadatul Ain, 2009. Determination of phytate, iron, zinc, calcium contents and their molar ratios in commonly consumed raw and prepared food in Malaysia. Mal. J. Nutr., 15(2): 213-222.
- Sanon, A., N.M. Ba, C.L. Binso-Dabire and B.R. Pittendrigh, 2010. Effectiveness of spinosad (naturalyte) in controlling the cowpea storage pest, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). J Econ. Entomol., 103(1): 203-10.
- Sharma, H.C., C.P. Srivastava, C. Durairaj and C.L.L. Gowda, 2010. Pest Management in Grain Legumes and Climate Change. Climate Change and Management of Cool Season Grain Legume Crops, pp: 115-139.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran, 1967. Statistical methods. 6th Ed., pp. 166-190, Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA.